

Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:   
 Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Site SS0252 treated as two sites (North Bay and North North), NN used as nursery for queen scallops prior to movement to NB for ongrowing prior to harvest.

NN stocked with 2 lines, NB stocked with 4 lines.

Empty shells are discarded on the seabed.

Site has depuration facilities but is not using these currently as the water has been classified as category A.

Thermometer was not taken out to site, so water temperature was not recorded.

Inspection completed by [REDACTED] under [REDACTED] supervision.

Case No: **2019-0185** Site No: **SS0252**  
 Date of Visit: **16/04/2019** Inspector(s): **[Redacted]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**
- 2. Changes made to details? **Y**

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	18 lines		Facilities stocked	6 lines		No facilities inspected	18 lines	
Species	CGI	CGI	AOP	AOP	AOP			
Age group	Mar-19	Mar-18	Mar-16	Mar-17	Nov-18			
No Fish	180,000	125,000	2,500	200,000	1,635,000			
Mean Fish Wt	2g	10g	25g	20g	2.5 tonnes			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	2021			Next Input Date (Site)	Natural settlement			

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? **N** Any escapes (since last visit)? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail: **[Redacted]**

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **04/11/2019**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[Redacted]**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[Redacted]**

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**  
 If other detail: **Empty shells are discarded on seabed.**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **No mortalities recorded since last inspection.**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[Redacted]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[Redacted]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[Redacted]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:

Case Number:	2019-0185	Site No:	SS0252
Date of Visit	16/04/2019	Inspector:	
<b>Number of Susceptible species on site</b>			
If no susceptible species present = <b>LOW</b> risk			
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen			
		No	Yes
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3
Susceptible to OshV (CGI)		0	3
<b>Sites within a tidal excursion</b>			
		1	2-5
			>6
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2
			10
			0
<b>Live shellfish movements</b>			
		0	1-2
			>3
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10
	Number of suppliers	0	5
			10
			0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3
	Number of destinations	0	3
			6
			0
<b>Management practices</b>			
		None	Secure (effluent treatment)
			Unsecure (no effluent treatment)
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4
			8
			1
			0
			0
<b>Biosecurity</b>			
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3
			≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1
		Yes	No
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	0	2
			0
			2
			0
<b>Total Risk</b>			6
<b>Total Risk</b>			<b>LOW</b>



Loch Fyne Seafarms Ltd.  
Unit 7  
Tarbert Trading Estate  
Tarbert Argyll  
PA29 6SX

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0165	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	16/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0252	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Barmore(North Bay)
<b>INSPECTOR</b>		<b>CASE No</b>	20190185

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every four years. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 18/04/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)



Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:   
 Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA: M-42

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

15,000 wrasse input onto site in May.

Spike in mortalities:

Wk11 2017: 13,626 (9.45%), wk12 2017: 4,582 (3.51%), wk13 2017: 12,636 (11.71%), wk14 2017: 9,220 (9.67%). Wk15 (2017), mortalities dropped to 145 (0.17%) across site. Increase in mortalities attributed to PD. Stock were not vaccinated.

Mortalities and waste are taken to the Stronachullin shorebase where they are stored in a skip before being collected by Billy Bowie and taken to Dundas Chemicals.

Site trying to move away from medicinal treatments for lice. Last used SLICE in May 2018. Hydrolicer used as alternative and is having good clearance rates.

Few lethargic fish seen across site with some physical damage attributed to a recent hydrolicer treatment. Fish due to be harvested in the coming weeks.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Inspection, paperwork and VMD sampling completed by [REDACTED] under [REDACTED] supervision.

Case No: **2019-0187** Site No: **FS0590**  
 Date of Visit: **16/04/2019** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N**

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	<b>14 cages</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>11 cages</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>14 cages</b>
Species	<b>SAL</b>	<b>WRA</b>			
Age group	<b>2017 S0</b>	<b>Wild caught</b>			
No Fish	<b>190,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>5.8kg</b>	<b>Mixed</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>June 2019</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>September 2019</b>	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? **N** Any escapes (since last visit)? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **15/02/2017**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **Y**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **Y**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Whole fish - Dundas Chemicals**  
 If other detail: **See additional comments.**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **w/b 18/03/19: 524 (0.2%), w/b 25/03/19: 328 (0.14%), w/b 01/04/19: 1,034 (0.44%), w/b 08/04/19: 1,608 (0.71%) across site.**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **Y**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

**See Q4 for details. Attributed to hydrolicer treatment.**

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **Y**  
 If yes, detail: **See additional comments.**

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **Y**

If yes, detail action: **Company vet sampled fish. Health report attributed mortalities to PD.**

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. **N**

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<b>Biosecurity Records</b>		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		
<b>Results of Surveillance</b>		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 15/02/17 - 16/04/19		

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
 Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	F1											
Pool Group												
Species	SAL											
Average weight	5.8KG											
Sex	N/A											
Water Type	SW											
Stock Details	Landcatch Ormsary (FS0575)											
	Stock Origin											
Facility No	1											



Case Number:	2019-0187	Site No:	FS0590	Insp:		
Date of Visit	16/04/2019	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>22</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No: 2019-0187

Site No: FS0590

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?   | N   |
| 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?   | Y   |
| 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? | Y   |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?   | Y   |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)  | Y   |
| 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)  | Y   |
| 7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)   | Y   |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above during the period that records are inspected?  | N   |
| If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.  | N/A |
| 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)  | N   |
| 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)                                      | Y   |
| 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?  | N/A |
| 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?   | Y   |
| 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?   | Y   |
| 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?  | Y   |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?  | Y   |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.  | Y   |

**Containment Inspection**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? | N |
| 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)              | Y |

Seal fence, tension nets, seal blinds, MML.

If other, detail below:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   | N |
| If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10   |   |
| 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?   |   |
| 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)  |   |
| 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)   |   |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail  |   |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) |   |
| 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) |   |
| 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)  | Y |



Case No: 2019-0187

Site No: FS0590

Date of Visit: 16/04/2019

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?



██████████  
The Scottish Salmon Company  
1 Smithy Lane  
Lochgilphead  
Argyll  
PA31 8TA  
██

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0169	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	16/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0590	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Glenan Bay
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██	<b>CASE No</b>	20190187

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

**Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015**

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

**Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 18/04/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:

Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: HI Water type: S CoGP MA

- Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Site Fallow

**Additional Case Information:**

Site was trialled to assess suitability for mussel lines, however fast tidal run made it impracticable. Site to be deregistered.

Site inspected 01/05/2019 from road side. All equipment had been removed.

Case No: 2019-0204

Site No: SS0911

Date of Visit: 29/04/2019

Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	0	Facilities stocked	0	No facilities inspected	0
Species	Fallow				
Age group					
No Fish					
Mean Fish Wt					
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Next Input Date (Site)			

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?  Any escapes (since last visit)?   
 If yes, detail:

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection:
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?   
 If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?   
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?   
 If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?   
 If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.



1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: First inspection



██████████  
Fass Fern Mussels  
Garvan Glen  
Garvan  
Fort William  
PH33 7AW  
██████████

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0406	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	30/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0911	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Inversanda
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████	<b>CASE No</b>	20190204

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was contacted in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The site was reported to be fallow.

Following discussion with the operator, it has been agreed that the site should be deregistered. The appropriate form has been forwarded and should be completed and returned to the Fish Health Inspectorate.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: ██████████

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 21/05/2019

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2019-0205"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="30/04/2019"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="1.5 hours"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="SS0818"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Camas a Chuilinn"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="SB0406"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Fass Fern Mussels"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value=""/>	3 <input type="text" value=""/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="8.6"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T275"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Site used for spat collection and mussels are transported by barge to Fassfern for ongrowing

New barge is being made for the company in France. This is near completion and will significantly reduce operating times.

Case No:  Site No:   
 Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?   
 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="7"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="4"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="7"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="MED"/>	<input type="text" value="MED"/>			
Age group	<input type="text" value="2017"/>	<input type="text" value="2018"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="25 T"/>	<input type="text" value="15 T"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="MIX"/>	<input type="text" value="MIX"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="No plans"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="natural spat"/>	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?  Any escapes (since last visit)?   
 If yes, detail:

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?   
 2. Date of last inspection:   
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?   
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?   
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?   
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?   
 If other detail:   
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):   
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?   
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?   
 If yes, detail:   
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?   
 If yes, detail action:   
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?		Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?		Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?		Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between: 01/11/2018 - 30/04/2019

Case Number:	2019-0205	Site No:	SS0818
Date of Visit	30/04/2019	Inspector:	
<b>Number of Susceptible species on site</b>			
If no susceptible species present = <b>LOW</b> risk			
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen			
		No	Yes
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3
Susceptible to OshV (CGI)		0	3
			0
			3
			0
<b>Sites within a tidal excursion</b>			
		1	2-5
			>6
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2
			10
			0
<b>Live shellfish movements</b>			
		0	1-2
			>3
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5
			10
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10
			20
	Number of suppliers	0	5
			10
			0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1
			2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3
			6
	Number of destinations	0	3
			6
			2
<b>Management practices</b>			
		None	Secure (effluent treatment)
			Unsecure (no effluent treatment)
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1
			2
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2
			6
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4
			8
			0
<b>Biosecurity</b>			
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3
			≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1
			2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1
			5
			1
			1
		Yes	No
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	0	2
			0
			0
<b>Total Risk</b>			7
<b>Total Risk</b>			<b>LOW</b>





██████████  
Fass Fern Mussels  
Garvan Glen  
Garvan  
Fort William  
PH33 7AW  
██████████

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0406	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	30/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0818	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Camas a Chuilinn
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	David Bradley	<b>CASE No</b>	20190205

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

R14

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 09/05/2019

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2019-0213"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="30/04/2019"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="1.5hrs"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS0551"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Frandy"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0456"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Dawnfresh Farming Ltd"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="VMD"/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="8.5"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="Site"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
Observations:	Region:	TA	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity concerns.

Site biologist undertaking weekly health surveillance. RTFS and Costia have been recently noted, however, have not caused any significant increase in mortality across the site. A course of antibiotics has been prescribed and are due to begin 01/05/19 to treat the RTFS.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Inspection, paperwork and VMD sampling completed by [REDACTED] under [REDACTED] supervision.

Case No: **2019-0213** Site No: **FS0551**  
 Date of Visit: **30/04/2019** Inspector(s): **[Redacted]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N**

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	<b>63 tanks</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>26</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>63</b>
Species	<b>RTR</b>				
Age group	<b>2018</b>				
No Fish	<b>235,193</b>				
Mean Fish Wt	<b>241g</b>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>No plan</b>	Next Input Date (Site)	<b>End of May/June</b>		

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? **Y** Any escapes (since last visit)? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **RTFS and Costia. No significant rise in mortalities from this.**

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **27/02/2017**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **Y**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **Y**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Biogas - Gask, Turriff**  
 If other detail: **[Redacted]**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **w/b 01/04/19: 670 (0.12%), w/b 08/04/19: 500 (0.22%), w/b 15/04/19: 996 (0.32%), w/b: 22/04/19: 909 (0.2%)**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[Redacted]**

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[Redacted]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[Redacted]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	Florfenicol, Formalin, Oxytetracycline	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Florfenicol, Formalin, Oxytetracycline	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	RTFS and Costia (not causing significant mortality).	

Records checked between: 27/02/17 - 30/04/19

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No													
Fish nos	1												
Pool Group													
Species	RTR												
Average weight	400g												
Sex	N/A												
Water Type	FW												
Stock Details		St Mungos FS0552											
	Stock Origin												
Facility No	T20												





Case Number:	2019-0213	Site No:	FS0551	Insp:		
Date of Visit	30/04/2019	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>19</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No: **2019-0213**

Site No: **FS0551**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above during the period that records are inspected?  
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Site indoors.

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)



██████████  
Dawnfresh Farming Ltd  
Bothwellpark Industrial Estate  
Uddingston  
Lanarkshire  
G71 6LS  
████████████████████

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0456	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	30/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0551	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Frandy
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	████████████████████	<b>CASE No</b>	20190213

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

**Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015**

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

**Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.



Signed:

Date: 03/05/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2019-0216"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="30/04/2019"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="4 hours"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="SS0656"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Camus An Fhiasgain"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="SB0476"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Arisaig Mussels"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value=""/>	3 <input type="text" value=""/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="10.2"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T155"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

No movements have taken place since last inspection.

One line has just had brand new ropes and droppers attached to it , and one line is derelict with plans to strip and replace for next years settlement. One line contains last years spat that will be ready for harvest next year.

Line inspected with spat attached. No fouling and line seems to be well settled.

Surveillance frequency dropped to low from medium as one site has been deregistered within a tidal excursion since last inspection.

Inspection and paperwork conducted by [REDACTED] supervised by [REDACTED]



Case No:  Site No:   
 Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?   
 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="3"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="2"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="3"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="MED"/>	<input type="text" value="MED"/>			
Age group	<input type="text" value="Spat"/>	<input type="text" value="Growers"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="Not Known"/>	<input type="text" value="Not Known"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="N/a"/>	<input type="text" value="N/a"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Ongoing Cycle"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Ongoing Cycle"/>	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?  Any escapes (since last visit)?   
 If yes, detail:

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?   
 2. Date of last inspection:   
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?   
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?   
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?   
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?   
 If other detail:   
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):   
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?   
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?   
 If yes, detail:   
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?   
 If yes, detail action:   
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?		Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?		Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?		Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between: 08/12/17/-30/04/19

Case Number:	2019-0216	Site No:	SS0656
Date of Visit	30/04/2019	Inspector:	
<b>Number of Susceptible species on site</b>			
If no susceptible species present = <b>LOW</b> risk			
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen			
		No	Yes
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)	0	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)	0	3
	Susceptible to OshV (CGI)	0	3
<b>Sites within a tidal excursion</b>			
		1	2-5
			>6
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2
			10
			2
<b>Live shellfish movements</b>			
		0	1-2
			>3
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5
			10
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10
			20
	Number of suppliers	0	5
			10
			0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1
			2
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3
			6
	Number of destinations	0	3
			6
			0
<b>Management practices</b>			
		None	Secure (effluent treatment)
			Unsecure (no effluent treatment)
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1
			2
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2
			6
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4
			8
			0
<b>Biosecurity</b>			
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3
			≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1
			2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1
			5
		Yes	No
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	0	2
			2
			2
<b>Total Risk</b>			9
<b>Total Risk</b>			<b>LOW</b>



██████████  
Arisaig Mussels  
The Gatehouse  
Tougal, Morar  
Inverness-shire  
PH40 4PD  
██████████

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0476	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	30/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0656	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Camus An Fhiasgain
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██	<b>CASE No</b>	20190216

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 07/05/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2019-0217"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="30/05/2019"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="8 hours"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="SS0746"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Corr Eilean - Loch Beag"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="SB0476"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Arisaig Mussels"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value=""/>	3 <input type="text" value=""/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="10.2"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T155"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="n"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="n"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="n"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="n"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

1 line of 2 year old stock, 1 line with mixed with 200m of new spat settling and 100m of 2 year old stock.

All mussels moved off site for consumption are picked up by fish merchants. [REDACTED] from Silver Fish Sellers takes mussels on and sells them to restaurants or other sellers in the Glasgow area. [REDACTED] is a local fish merchant from mallaig who supplies local hotels and restaurants in the area.

One line with older stock was found to have medium to heavy fouling. Site manager noted that this stock should have been harvested last year but was held back due to issues with water quality. Other side of the line had 1 year old stock with very light fouling.

Movements off site for harvest are recorded in a site diary rather than the movement book. Where he records the necessary information for all movements off site.

Inspection and Paperwork conducted by [REDACTED] supervised by [REDACTED]



Case No:  Site No:   
 Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?   
 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="2"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="2"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="MED"/>	<input type="text" value="MED"/>			
Age group	<input type="text" value="Adults"/>	<input type="text" value="Spat"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="Not known"/>	<input type="text" value="Not known"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="Mix"/>	<input type="text" value="Mix"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Ongoing cycle"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Ongoing cycle"/>	

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?  Any escapes (since last visit)?   
 If yes, detail:

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?   
 2. Date of last inspection:   
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?   
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?   
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?   
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?   
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?   
 If other detail:   
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?   
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):   
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?   
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:   
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?   
 If yes, detail:   
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?   
 If yes, detail action:   
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	y
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 30/9/15-30/04/19

Case Number:	2019-0217	Site No:	SS0746
Date of Visit	30/05/2019	Inspector:	
<b>Number of Susceptible species on site</b>			
If no susceptible species present = <b>LOW</b> risk			
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen			
		No	Yes
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3
Susceptible to OshV (CGI)		0	3
<b>Sites within a tidal excursion</b>			
		1	2-5
			>6
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2
			10
			2
<b>Live shellfish movements</b>			
		0	1-2
			>3
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10
	Number of suppliers	0	5
			10
			0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3
	Number of destinations	0	3
			6
			0
			0
<b>Management practices</b>			
		None	Secure (effluent treatment)
			Unsecure (no effluent treatment)
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4
			8
			0
			0
<b>Biosecurity</b>			
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3
			≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1
		Yes	No
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	0	2
			2
			2
<b>Total Risk</b>			10
			<b>LOW</b>



██████████  
Arisaig Mussels  
The Gatehouse  
Tougal, Morar  
Inverness-shire  
PH40 4PD  
██████████

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0476	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	30/04/2019
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0746	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Corr Eilean - Loch Beag
<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██	<b>CASE No</b>	20190217

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 08/05/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No: 2019-0227 Date of visit: 25/04/2019

Time spent on site: 3 hours Main Inspector:

Site No: FS0052 Site Name: College Mill
Business No: FB0043 Business Name: College Mill Trout Farm

Case Types: 1 OTH 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

■■■■ accompanied by ■■■■ Visit at request of site manager. Overview of work undertaken so far at site in relation to flood elevation work.

Issue with perimeter fence. Gate in fence with gaps under and at edge. Will need to be tied in and concrete plinth added underneath. Suggested planting extenally to fence to reduce soil erosion at times of flooding. Also gaps in fence around SEPA shed which will need filled in.

Looking to input fish in September 2019. 40000 RTR triploids at 10g from Selcoth. Hoping for a running period of 3 months prior to restocking.



